Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	55,076	TAKAMURA ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	oiner	
Lxammer-imated interview Summary	imei	Art Unit
Peter	Szekely	1714
All Participants: State	tus of Application:	
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> . (3	3)	
(2) <u>Michael Morgan</u> . (4	4)	
Date of Interview: 29 December 2003 Time	ne: <u>1:30 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 17.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The improper Markush language in claims 1 and 17 will be corrected.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
ba		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		